Community Determined Models

I. <u>The Historical Critical Model</u>

- A. The "Bart Ehrman" Model
- B. Believe that the Canon is simply the result of human activity
- C. The "Naturalization of Canon"

D. Positives

- 1. Community of Believers does play a role in determining which books belong
- 2. The Books did <u>not</u> simply "drop" from the sky

E. Negatives

- 1. Insistence that the Church play a difinitive role in determining Canon
- 2. The Insistence on the "Naturalization" of Canon (strictly a human endeavor)

II. The Roman Catholic Model

A. Tri-Fold Authority Structure

- 1. Scripture
- 2. Tradition
- 3. Majesterium (Pope/Bishop)
 - a) Decides what counts as Scripture
 - b) The only authority that can rightly interpret scripture
- 4. Ultimately the Church determines what books belong in the Canon
- 5. The Church authenticates the Canon

B. Positives

- 1. The Church does play a role in determining Canon
- 2. Admit that divine activity is involved in the process
- 3. We do have the same 27 books in the New Testament

C. Negatives

- 1. <u>Ephesians 2:20</u> the Church is built on the foundation of Apostolic teaching, not the other way around
- 2. The Church cannot establish the Canon, the Church already had a Canon, the Old Testament
- 3. From the earliest days, Christians received and regarded books as Scripture

III. The Canonical Criticism

- A. Largely influenced by Brevard Childs
- B. For Childs, the most important thing was having a Canon
- C. He believed that the text was altered added to modified, etc.
- D. Canon: Books that function as a Canon even though they have been changed to some degree

E. <u>Problems</u>:

- 1. The entire idea that the text underwent changes . . .
- 2. Inspiration is not necessarily with the original writer
- 3. Puts Church authority over the text